1	THE STATE OF ARIZONA
2	CITIZENS CLEAN ELECTIONS COMMISSION
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	REPORTER'S REVISED TRANSCRIPT OF PUBLIC HEARING
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	Phoenix, Arizona
16	November 3, 2005
17	9:30 a.m.
18	
19	
20	
21	(Copy)
22	
23	Reported By:
24	Angela Furniss Miller, RPR Certified Reporter (AZ 50127)
25	(112 00127)

1	A PUBLIC MEETING, BEFORE THE CITIZENS CLEAN
2	ELECTIONS COMMISSION, convened at 9:30 a.m. on November
3	3, 2005, at the State of Arizona, Clean Elections
4	Commission, 1616 W. Adams, Conference Room, Phoenix,
5	Arizona, in the presence of the following Board Members:
6	Ms. Marcia Busching, Phoenix, Chairperson
7	Ms. Tracey Bardorf, Scottsdale
8	Mr. Gary Scaramazzo, Page
9	Ms. Emila Jolley, Yuma
10	
11	OTHERS PRESENT: Mr. Todd Lang, Executive Director
12	Ms. Paula Ortiz, Executive Assistant Ms. Colleen McGee, Fiscal Service Manager
13	Ms. Genevra Richardson, Campaign Finance Manager
14	Ms. Diana Varela, Assistant Attorney General Ms. Nancy Read, Secretary of State's Office
15	Ms. Barbara Lubin, Clean Elections Institute Mr. Paul Peterson, OMA
16	Mr. Gene Lemon, Investigative Consultant Mr. Doug Ramsey, Clean Elections Institute
17	Ms. Barbara Klein, League of Women's Voters Mr. Todd Kittel, Public
18	Ms. Janna B. Media Ms. Peggy Tommey Hammann
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

PROCEEDING

1.3

1.5

CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: This is the meeting of the Clean Election -- Citizens Clean Elections

Commission. It's Thursday, November 3rd, 2005 at 9:30

a.m. at 1616 West Adams, Suite 110, Phoenix, Arizona

85007.

I'm Marcia Busching, the Chairman of the Commission. And I just want to notify everyone that the Commission may vote to go into executive session, which will not be open to the public, for any item listed on the agenda for obtaining legal advice.

All matters on the agenda may be discussed, considered, and are subject to action by the Commission.

I've called the meeting to order, so let's go to Item No. 2, approval of the October 20th, 2005

Commission meeting minutes. They were a Reporter's

Transcript of Public Hearing.

Does anyone have any additions or corrections?

MR. LANG: Madame Chair.

CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Mr. Lang.

MR. LANG: On Page 8, which is Page 7 -Commissioner Bardorf, how do you negotiate through those
paginations?

1 Anyway, on Page 8 in the middle, you see where it says, "The Republican caucus of the United States 2 3 Senate; " it should say "caucus" but says "congress." It 4 also says "senate" instead of "house of representative," 5 but that was my error, not the reporter's. 6 Then on Page 9, which I guess it's Page 10, in 7 the middle at the bottom it says Page 9, but right below 8 Page 10 in the middle, it has me quoted as, "I don't think so, but" and then the word "if" should be inserted 9 between "but" and "we". 10 That's all I have. 11 12 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Okay. Any other 1.3 additions or corrections? 14 COMMISSIONER BARDORF: Madame Chair, I move 1.5 that we approve the October 20th minutes with the two 16 changes that Mr. Lang mentioned. 17 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Is there a second? 18 COMMISSIONER JOLLEY: I'll second it. 19 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: It's been moved by 20 Commissioner Bardorf and seconded by Commissioner Jolley 21 that we approve the October 20th minutes with the 22 corrections. All in favor say, "aye". 23 (Chorus of ayes.) 24 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Opposed, nay? 25 The Chair votes aye. Motion carries.

Item No. 3 on the agenda, Executive Director's report.

1.3

MR. LANG: Thank you, Madame Chair. Good morning, Commissioners.

You have my report and what I'll do is supplement it and update it. The meetings with the legislature continue to go well. I've had about a dozen meetings either last week, this week, or next week. They're always very interesting.

There is some legislation floating out there.

I've indicated to them that we will not be running a

bill. But I'm, of course, happy to help any legislators

with any questions or any assistance they may require

including drafting anything they like and they will let

me know.

We currently have 28 -- the report says 27 -- but we now have 28 participating candidates. Ms.

Florez, one of the gubernatorial candidates is now participating in it.

Our fund balance is \$24.7 million.

You see the voter education update. The primary thing is Off Madison Avenue will be presenting their 2006 plan on November -- at our next meeting on November 18th.

As for sponsorship -- debate sponsorship, we've

now received five applications and I've met with some other interested groups, so we're proceeding there. Although the deadline has now passed, that's the traditional deadline that traditionally has past before we get that, so it's nothing to be worried about but we do need to keep that moving of course.

2.

1.3

Our next candidate training is coming up on November 9th. Due to our current staffing pattern, I will be helping Genevra with that training. So I'm looking forward to that.

And then we've completed -- you see we've completed the five-year review update with the help of Dean Wright. Genevra Richardson did a great job, really cranked out the report on that and Dean was very helpful. And we will, I'm sure, we'll hear back from her shortly. I'm sure they'll have lots of good suggestions.

Staffing. As you know, Autumn has left but the good news is I've filled the deputy director's position.

MS. VARELA: The deputy director?

MR. LANG: I'm sorry. That's a -- that's a shock.

The voter education position. In other words, Genevra's position.

Miller Certified Reporting

COMMISSIONER BARDORF: Autumn's.

1 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Autumn's.

2 MR. LANG: Autumn's position. You're still

3 here?

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. RICHARDSON: That's a shock here.

COMMISSIONER BARDORF: Wow, there's lots going

6 on today.

MR. LANG: I'll try again. I've hired Michael Becker for the education position and he'll start on November 7th. I'm very excited to have him. He has a wonderful resume. He worked as Chief of Staff for County Supervisor Don Stapley for four years. He worked on his re-election campaign, so he has the campaign experience and he has the national experience. certainly has worked with constituents and done a lot of education. Before that he worked for a year with Congressman John Shadegg and was involved with some campaign there. Before that, he worked for the Arizona Republican Party in a fund raising capacity. And he worked for a congressional -- a member of congress right out of college. So he has a lot political experience, fund raising, and most importantly speaking with groups, working with voters and working with candidates. we're very pleased to have him and I look forward to working with him.

Enforcement. Nothing to add there. I think

1 the report is accurate. And Gene Lemon will be speaking to you today 2. 3 about some settlement. And I think unless you have 4 questions, that concludes my report. 5 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Any news on the 6 administrative assistant position? 7 MR. LANG: They are -- Paula is back there. 8 She can tell you, but basically they're right in the 9 middle --10 MS. ORTIZ: We're in the midst of completing 11 reviews this week. And we anticipate to have a 12 selection after references are followed up next week. 1.3 So, we hope to have the position filled and the person 14 naturally can provide a two-week notice to their 1.5 employer. Hopefully by Thanksgiving or thereafter. And 16 we're starting for the AA II position now. Busy year. 17 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: And we welcome you back 18 as well. 19 MS. ORTIZ: Thank you very much. 20 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Any other questions or 21 comments? 22 COMMISSIONER BARDORF: I saw in your report you 23 mention the executive director. You're still in the 2.4 deciding process, right? 25 MR. LANG: Well, deputy director.

1 COMMISSIONER BARDORF: I'm sorry. 2 MR. LANG: I decided to stay. 3 COMMISSIONER BARDORF: It's musical chair 4 today. I'm sorry. 5 MR. LANG: I just had to prioritize getting the 6 voter education manager. And then I'm going to get 7 Michael in place and then assess what we need, what fits 8 in that slot, and go. 9 COMMISSIONER BARDORF: Makes sense. 10 MR. LANG: And I contacted the finalists, the 11 folks I interviewed for the deputy position and let them 12 know where we are and let them know I will get back in 1.3 touch with them shortly. 14 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Okay. Any other 15 questions or comments? 16 Okay. None. We'll go to Item No. 4, 17 discussion of appointment of chairperson for 2006. 18 But before I do that, I should mention for the 19 record that all the Commissioners are here except for 20 Commissioner Detrick who undoubtedly is tied up with 21 election duties with the City of Tucson. 22 Okay. Mr. Lang. 23 MR. LANG: As the Commission knows, we -- it's 24 our obligation to -- or the Commission's obligation to elect a new Chair for 2006. Traditionally that's been 25

1 done in January, and that can be done again. Later on in the agenda, we're going to talk about the January 2. 3 meeting and it can be done then. 4 Ideally, it would be done in December, I think, 5 based on timing, but we do not have a December meeting, 6 so January will work. 7 So, it was placed on the agenda to get the 8 discussion begun. 9 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Okay. Commissioner 10 Jolley. 11 COMMISSIONER JOLLEY: So, what -- let me see, I 12 believe that last year in November we made a decision as 1.3 to who our new Chair will be. 14 MR. LANG: Okay. COMMISSIONER JOLLEY: Is there any difficulty 1.5 16 with that? 17 MR. LANG: It's up to the Commission. 18 COMMISSIONER JOLLEY: Well, I would like to 19 nominate Commissioner Busching once again. I think 20 she's done a good job keeping us on track. 21 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Thank you. 22 Is it appropriate to do an election 23 today? 24 COMMISSIONER BARDORF: The only reservation I have is that Commissioner Detrick is not here. 25 Oh, but

1 -- well, she may or may not want to have a say since 2 she's graduating. 3 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Graduating, I like that. 4 COMMISSIONER JOLLEY: To retirement. 5 COMMISSIONER SCARAMAZZO: Is it listed on the 6 agenda as an appointment today? It just says discussion 7 of the appointment, 8 MS. VARELA: Well, actually up above it says, 9 "All matters on agenda may be discussed, considered and 10 are subject to action by the Commission." 11 Yeah, I looked at that too. I think you will 12 be okay if you decided to do it today. 1.3 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Well there's been a 14 motion. Is there a second? 1.5 COMMISSIONER BARDORF: I don't have a problem 16 with it. I just think we should make sure that Kathy 17 has an opportunity to say whether or not she wants to 18 have a say. Just because -- if there were an urgency, 19 then I wouldn't be holding it up. But, since we don't 20 have an urgency -- I can't remember when our next 21 meeting is. 22 COMMISSIONER JOLLEY: It's November 18th. 23 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: So, do you want to 24 withdraw your motion? 25 COMMISSIONER JOLLEY: I'll withdraw my motion.

CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: All right. Any other discussion on the matter?

1.3

COMMISSIONER BARDORF: Just that I think that we should, on the agenda for the next meeting, put specifically that it's going to be an election so she knows and everybody knows so we won't hold it up any further.

CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Okay. Then we'll go to Item No. 5 on the agenda, discussion and possible approval of an additional Commission meeting in January of 2006.

I actually put this on the agenda, because since we — the next meeting is the middle of November, and the following meeting wasn't scheduled until the end of January, I thought just so people could clear their calendars ahead of time, and we do not rush to try to figure out something that we just go ahead and add a meeting. If there's no need for it, we can obviously cancel it then.

So, have people had an opportunity to look at their calendars and see whether any or all of those dates work?

Commissioner Jolley.

COMMISSIONER JOLLEY: Well, the best date that works for me is January 13th.

1 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Okay. Does that work? 2 COMMISSIONER SCARAMAZZO: That works for me. 3 COMMISSIONER BARDORF: Works for me. 4 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: All right. Do you want 5 to make that into a motion? COMMISSIONER JOLLEY: I motion that if we need 6 7 a meeting for January, that it be January 13th. CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: 8 Okay. 9 COMMISSIONER SCARAMAZZO: I'll second that. CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: It's been moved and 10 11 seconded that if the January -- earlier January meeting 12 is required, it be held on January 13th. 1.3 Any other discussion? 14 If not, the Chair will call for the question, 15 all in favor say "aye". 16 (Chorus of ayes.) 17 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Opposed, nay? 18 The Chair votes aye. Motion carries. 19 Item No. 6, discussion and possible approval on 20 the following rules: A) Consideration of public comment 21 and approval for proposed rule changes to R2-20-109(B)(1), allowing statewide candidates to file 22 23 Excel spreadsheet containing the \$5 Contributor's 24 Information in lieu of inputting the \$5 Contributor's 25 Information into the campaign finance software.

1 Mr. Lang. 2 MR. LANG: Actually, our voter education -- I 3 mean, our -- I'm sorry, our Campaign Finance Manager, 4 Genevra Richardson, is going to discuss that. 5 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Okay. 6 MS. RICHARDSON: If you remember at the August 7 25th meeting, this was brought before the Commission 8 from Secretary of State Brewer and the Commission 9 approved the following language change on what you see. 10 And we've had a sixty-day public comment period. We 11 have not received any written public comments. So, 12 today the Commission can take action or consider public 1.3 comment. 14 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Okay. In which case 15 I'll open it up to the public and ask, is there anyone 16 from the public wishing to speak to this matter? 17 Yes? 18 MS. LUBIN: Barbara Lubin, Clean Elections 19 Institute. I spoke in favor of this rule change when 20 the Secretary of State proposed it. And I just wanted 21 to affirm, because I hadn't submitted written comments, 22 that we are still in favor of this, of the change that

CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Okay. Appreciate that.
Yes?

you all have before you.

23

24

25

1 MS. KLEIN: Barbara Klein with the League of Women Voters. And I would just like to say that we 2 3 would really encourage you to vote for this change. 4 think that anything that eases the burden on the 5 candidates in any way, while still maintaining the 6 integrity of the law, is a good thing. 7 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Thank you. Appreciate 8 it. Any other comments? 9 Okay. Any discussion or questions by members of the Commission? 10 11 If not, the Chair will entertain a 12 motion. 1.3 COMMISSIONER BARDORF: Madame Chair, I move 14 that we approve the changes to Rule R2-20-109 as set 1.5 forth in our materials. 16 COMMISSIONER SCARAMAZZO: Second that. 17 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: It's been moved by 18 Commissioner Bardorf and seconded by Commissioner 19 Scaramazzo that we approve the changes to Rule 20 R2-20-109. Any further discussion? 21 If not, the Chair will call for the question, 22 all in favor say "aye". 23 (Chorus of ayes.) 24 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Opposed, nay? 25 The Chair votes aye. Motion carries.

Item 6 B, proposed exempt rulemaking amendments for substantive and technical changes to the following R2-20 Rules: 103, 104, 107, 206, 208, 214, 217, 231, 702, 702.01, and 703.

1.3

1.5

Ms. Richardson, are you doing this as well?

MS. RICHARDSON: Okay. Yes. This is just rules that during the clean up of the '04 rule process and the five-year review, these rules were already approved by the Commission last year, but need to be noticed to the public properly. They've already been approved by the Commission and gone through that process, but we need to have it — they were never finally published either. So, we need to have the Commission approve them, post them for a 60-day public comment, and then we can finalize them.

CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Okay.

MS. RICHARDSON: If you want to go through them step by step, I'll be happy to do that, but they've already gone through that discussion process.

CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: All right.

COMMISSIONER JOLLEY: I have a question. It's just technical. I always thought that after a numerical spelling of a number, you use the number, the numerical number itself in parentheses.

1 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Where are you? COMMISSIONER JOLLEY: Page 2. It says, "seven 2 3 I always thought the number seven came after days". 4 And where it says three commissioner members, it seven. 5 had the number three. Maybe I'm dating myself. 6 been a long time since Funk and Wagnalls as an English 7 So I don't know. I'm asking. class. 8 MS. RICHARDSON: During the rule process, the 9 review and everything, I had an opportunity to meet with 10 the rules person over at the Secretary of State's 11 office. Any number under 10, has to be written out -or is it 10? Yeah -- and then over 10 has to be 12 1.3 numerical. 14 COMMISSIONER JOLLEY: Okay. Very good. 1.5 Answered my question. 16 Just one correction, and that's on Page 7 under letter "G". You need an E" to election. "An election 17 18 to another office." It just says "lection". 19 MS. RICHARDSON: Okay. 20 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Okay. 21 COMMISSIONER BARDORF: I had a question just 22 for Mr. Lang I guess. I was thinking as I was reviewing 23 this particular change to 206 which puts the authority 2.4 in your position as opposed to the administrative

counsel, and I'm assuming that's because we don't have

25

an administrative counsel, and as far as I know never have. And I remember when we were hiring for your position, when I saw the organizational chart, I was sort of surprised to see that there was that position on the org chart.

2.

1.3

1.5

And I was just thinking, is that something where we could reallocate that position and have -- I guess more importantly, is that something that would be of interest to have another person on staff perhaps taking on different duties that will be of help to everyone else on the staff? And that was just a thought that occurred to me when I was reading this, if we're not going to have an administrative counsel, perhaps that opening should be something else to help everybody else in the office. Just an idea.

MR. LANG: Madame Chair, Commissioner Bardorf. That's actually a very good suggestion. Just for your information, we have had an administrative counsel at one brief period in the past.

COMMISSIONER BARDORF: Oh.

MR. LANG: But since that time, we haven't filled the position and don't anticipate filling the position.

That's something I've considered. Frankly, once we get the deputy director's position filled, we

have a problem, we don't have any office space for that position. That's been sort of a very real impediment to that position. That's something we're thinking about, Paula and I are working together on that, and so that's a strategy. But that is on the radar. So, thank you.

CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Okay. Any other questions or comments?

Is there anyone from the public that wishes to speak to this matter?

No.

COMMISSIONER BARDORF: I'm sorry, there was one other one that I wanted to discuss very briefly. The change to Rule 703, the last change which is Subsection D, this issue of if there's no documentation for direct expenditure, it needs to be repaid with personal monies.

Does that create any opportunity for sort of exceeding the spending limits? In other words, you could spend money and obtain goods, and then simply pay your campaign back with personal money, and then now you have the goods, but you're back to your original sum of money?

MR. LANG: Madame Chair, Commissioner Bardorf, my understanding is that you will still be subject to enforcement and this is simply an additional remedy.

COMMISSIONER BARDORF: I see. Okay.

1.3

1.5

1 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Okay. Any other 2 questions or comments? 3 If not, the Chair will entertain a 4 motion. 5 COMMISSIONER BARDORF: Madame Chair, I move we authorize Ms. Richardson to authorize the rule changes 6 7 as set forth in Agenda Item 6, Sub B, be publicly 8 noticed and implemented. 9 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Is there a second? COMMISSIONER JOLLEY: I'll second that. 10 11 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: It's been moved by 12 Commissioner Bardorf and seconded by Commissioner Jolley 1.3 that we continue the process of getting the rule 14 amendments approved as set forth in Agenda Item 6B. 1.5 I like your shorthand way of doing it. 16 Any further discussion? 17 If not, the Chair will call for the 18 question, all in favor say "aye". 19 (Chorus of ayes.) 20 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Opposed, nay? 21 Chair votes aye. The motion carries. Item 7, discussion and possible action on the 22 23 following enforcement matters: A) MUR 04-0020, David 24 Gowan. Discussion and possible action on the outcome of informal settlement conference between Commission 25

1 Representative Tracey Bardorf and David Gowan. 2. Ms. Bardorf. 3 COMMISSIONER BARDORF: We met with Mr. Gowan 4 and his attorneys a couple of Fridays ago. They had 5 asked for an opportunity to obtain the materials from 6 Mr. Querard and be able to amend the reports to supply 7 the required level of detail, and we agreed to that 8 extension in the hope that the amended report will 9 satisfy the reporting requirement and be able to dispose 10 of the matter without going any further. 11 So, was it a 60-day extension? 12 MS. VARELA: 45 days. 1.3 COMMISSIONER BARDORF: We've given them 45 14 days. And hopefully it won't take that long, but he 1.5 also agreed to postpone the administrative hearing. And 16 so -- and then if we get the amended reports, those will 17 be subject to the Commission's approval. 18 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Okay. Sounds good. So there's no action that we need to take? 19 20 COMMISSIONER BARDORF: Not yet. Then, we'll 21 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: All right. move to Item 7 B: MUR 04-0043, Peggy Toomey Hammann. 22 23 Reconsideration of order. 24 Mr. Lang. 25 MR. LANG: Madame Chair and Commissioners, this

is a blast from the past. Last Commission meeting, the Commission approved this order and issued it; however, in drafting it, they noticed an error regarding the penalties. So, I've brought it back before you for your reconsideration and re-issuance of a new order with the proper penalties. In particular -- I'm not going to go into the whole order, because it's identical to the penalties you authorized.

1.3

1.5

The change is in Paragraph 10. As you know, the allegation is that Ms. Hammann failed to report the matching funds, both the receipt of those matching funds and how she -- how she disposed of them. The penalty is \$110 per day. Obviously, that would result in a penalty of many, many thousands of dollars.

However, under ARS 16-942(B), the penalty limit for such a failure is twice the amount of the expenditure contribution reported. In this case, that amount would be \$1,066.68. It's my recommendation to the Commission that they approve the order with that change.

I also should say, I believe Ms. Hammann -- she told me she would be here today and may want to speak to the Commission. She is here. Okay.

CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Mr. Lang, before I turn to the public, could you -- the overall penalties set

forth herein, is there a reason why you didn't total it? 1 2 I mean --3 MR. LANG: I think I did. 4 MS. VARELA: Page 3 at the top. 5 MR. LANG: Page 3, Paragraph 1. The total amount is \$3,573.68. And I apologize for not pointing 6 7 that out to the Commission. 8 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: All right. Thank you. 9 All right, any questions of Mr. Lang? 10 MR. LANG: Counsel has pointed out to me 11 there's a typo on the bottom of Page 2. The order has 12 the same sentence twice. So I would recommend that the 1.3 Commission redact one sentence. Their choice of which 14 one. 15 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: All right. Is there 16 anyone from the public that wishes to speak to this 17 matter? 18 MR. LANG: I just note for the record that Ms. 19 Hammann is here. She's declined the opportunity. 20 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Okay. All right. Ιf 21 not, then the Chair will entertain a motion. 22 I guess before we do that, Mr. Lang. If Ms. 23 Hammann is here and doesn't wish to speak, do you have 24 any sense on whether she's agreeable to this, this 25 revised penalty and whether we could just turn this into a consent order or anything?

1.3

MR. LANG: She may want to speak to that,

Madame Chair. But I did have a conversation with her

yesterday, and while she is interested in a settlement,

she has a number of justifications for the various

allegations against her. And frankly, I told her that I

felt the time to raise those had passed.

She also would, I think, would tell you that paying a fine of \$3,000 will be very difficult for her. So, I think she's interested in -- I'm not going to speak for her, I'm going to urge her to respond to my comments -- but I think she's interested in availing herself of an opportunity to have an informal settlement conference after the order is entered into with a member of the Commission.

CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Okay.

MS. HAMMANN: That's exactly what I wanted to --

CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Ms. Hammann, do you want to come forward and state your name?

MS. HAMMANN: I'm Peggy Toomey Hammann and I appreciate your time and consideration. I was going to, after this, request an informal settlement conference.

CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Okay. I appreciate that.

MS. HAMMANN: And I appreciate that, Mr. Lang.

Much of this is because I was not

receiving notifications of any of these issues. Fed Ex

was sent to the wrong address and I have not been

communicating with the Commission.

CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Okay. Thank you. We appreciate your attendance, and, you know, obviously we regret any time we have to assess penalties and hopefully we can get this worked out.

MS. HAMMANN: Thank you.

1.3

CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Okay.

MS. VARELA: And if I may speak to that, I just want to, so we have it on the record, my understanding is that the Federal Express packages that were being sent to Ms. Toomey Hammann were being sent to a different address at her request.

So, I just, I don't want to leave that out there that the Commission thinks that staff is continually sending things to an address she knows she's not going to receive them at. I want to make that clear. At least my understanding is that Commission staff was requested by Ms. Hammann and she used the other address to receive the packages.

MS. HAMMANN: At one time I was out of town and requested it be sent to an address here in Arizona

because I was out of state at the time. I did not 1 intend for that to be continual. I knew there was no 2. 3 one at my home to receive it. I had it sent to my 4 mother-in-law's house, but she knew that and was 5 prepared to receive the Fed Ex. CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: All right. Thank you. 6 7 Commissioner Jolley. 8 COMMISSIONER JOLLEY: Yes, I have a question of 9 Mr. Lang. Mr. Lang, on Page 3 it states that, "The 10 respondent shall pay a civil penalty in the total amount of \$3,573.68." 11 12 Now, is that different from the one on Page 2 1.3 that says, "The appropriate penalty for this violation 14 is \$1,056.68." 1.5 MR. LANG: Actually, Madame Chair and 16 Commissioner Jolley, that is the order. As you can see, 17 that's under the caption of final order. The final 18 order is a combination of all the penalties. 19 this case there are three separate penalties. And that 20 number in the order represents the combination of those 21 three separate penalties. 22 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Okay. Any further 23 discussion? 24 The Chair will entertain a motion. 25 Commissioner Jolley.

COMMISSIONER JOLLEY: Yes. I will motion --1 or, make a motion in the matter of MUR 04-0043, finding 2 3 and fact of conclusion of law, that we assess a penalty to Ms. Peggy Toomey Hammann and the respondent shall pay 4 5 a civil penalty in the total amount of \$3,576.68. 6 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Is there a second? 7 COMMISSIONER SCARAMAZZO: I'll second. 8 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: It's been moved by Commissioner Jolley and seconded by Commissioner 9 10 Scaramazzo that the order and notice of appealable 11 agency action that assesses a penalty of \$3,576.68 be 12 approved. 1.3 Any further discussion? Mr. Lang. 14 MR. LANG: I don't know if this is appropriate 1.5 We have a service address on the order and I would 16 just like Ms. Hammann, since she's here, to confirm the address she would like this order to be sent to. 17 18 MS. HAMMANN: Can I pick it up here today while 19 I'm here and save the State \$24 in Fed Ex expenses? 20 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Sure. And we'll clarify 21 the address at the same time. Okay. 22 MR. LANG: Thanks. 23 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Any further comments? 24 If not, the Chair will call for the 25 question, all in favor say "aye".

1 (Chorus of ayes.) 2 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Opposed, nay? Chair votes aye. Motion carries. 3 4 Mr. Lang, we heard that Ms. Hammann will want a 5 settlement conference. Is it appropriate now that 6 you're a part of this for you to do it, or do you want a 7 Commission representative to do it? 8 Do we need that on a separate agenda or where 9 are we on all of that? 10 MR. LANG: The order -- I may need some help 11 from Diana on the technicalities for this -- the order 12 still needs to be signed by the Chair. Once the order 1.3 has been signed, it advises Ms. Hammann that she has a 14 right to appeal, she has a right to an informal 15 settlement conference. 16 Since she's already indicated that she would 17 like that, I see no reason why the Board -- oh, because 18 it's not on the agenda. 19 MS. VARELA: Because it's not on the agenda. 20 MR. LANG: Right. 21 MS. VARELA: We can put it on the next agenda 22 and that way we will --23 MR. LANG: That there's --24 MS. VARELA: Yeah, I don't think that comes 25 within the scope of what was -- what was noticed on the

agenda today. But the Commission has a meeting in two weeks and that will be enough time to designate a Commission representative.

 $$\operatorname{MR.\ LANG:}$$ We'll certainly put it on the agenda.

CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: All right. Thank you.

Item No. 7 C, MUR 04-0030, Jesse

Hernandez. Discussion and possible action on informal settlement agreement with external investigative consultant.

Mr. Lemon, welcome.

1.3

MR. LEMON: Good morning, I am pleased to report we have finally gotten to the bottom of the Hernandez case. And let me make just a few points. After all of the investigation, it is quite apparent that Mr. Hernandez did not know what to do or how to do it when it came to the campaign accounting and reporting. He relied on his treasurers to do that.

His first treasurer quit. There have been suggestions that the treasurer had a bi-polar disorder and I think Mr. Hernandez was not aware of the mess that was initially made. It took him some time to find a replacement and so that led largely to the mess.

Now, when the -- when the Commission asked for more study, we engaged Miller Allen to do a specific

analysis of bank activity versus campaign financing reports. We have received that analysis and a copy has been furnished to each of you. It's quite clear from the work done that the candidate paid amounts late, way late. But, it's also clear that the amounts paid were for bills directly related to the campaign. There was no improper spending. The improprieties came with accounting and reporting.

1.3

1.5

I have met with Mr. Hernandez. I've gone through the auditor's report. I've discussed with him what went on. And I've come to an agreement with him that he would repay the \$125 in un-spent funds that remains. He holds a cashier's check for that amount.

And in addition, he would pay to the fund the sum of \$1,065. That is money that was spent on the campaign but was not reported on campaign finance reports. So, the total is \$1,190 and some change.

I particularly want to recommend that settlement, because it seems to me it is similar to the Commission's order in the Murchison case. Where Murchison overspent and he paid a sum equal to the overspending as a civil penalty for the violation -- if the violation had occurred there.

In this case, the \$1,065 was indeed spent on the campaign but not reported, and the candidate has

1 agreed to pay that amount. That amount is reflected in the Miller Allen report. 2. 3 So, that's -- that is my -- my recommendation 4 to get this case behind us. I would be happy to answer 5 any questions. 6 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Are there any questions 7 of Mr. Lemon? 8 Is there anyone from the public that wishes to 9 speak to this matter? 10 If not, the Chair will entertain a 11 motion. 12 COMMISSIONER BARDORF: I move that we approve 1.3 the settlement agreement in MUR 04-0030 as presented to 14 us by Mr. Lemon. 1.5 COMMISSIONER SCARAMAZZO: Second. 16 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: It's been moved by 17 Commissioner Bardorf and seconded by Commissioner 18 Scaramazzo that we approve the settlement agreement in 19 the Jesse Hernandez matter as presented by Mr. Lemon. 20 Any further discussion? 21 If not, the Chair will call for the question, 22 all in favor say "aye". 23 (Chorus of ayes.) 24 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Opposed, nay? 25 The Chair votes aye. Motion carries.

COMMISSIONER BARDORF: I have a question related to the penalties, and one of the three of you maybe knows the answer. When penalties are assessed, I notice there's no deadlines in terms of paying them.

1.3

1.5

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$$ LEMON: They have to pay in 30 days after the agreement.

COMMISSIONER BARDORF: They have 30 days?
MR. LEMON: Yeah.

CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Okay. That was the question. Maybe it is here. Here we go, Page 3.

Okay. Moving on to Item No. 8, legal report from Assistant Attorney General Diana Varela.

MS. VARELA: Thank you, Commissioners.

Commissioner Busching had asked that I give a brief update on the redistricting case. And I can do that. I can give you a very brief update. I have to tell you that the most recent decision by the Court of Appeals is 75 pages and I have not read it. I can give you a very brief summary, but the bottom line is the Court of Appeals has remanded it to the trial court to look at the legislative lines.

Now, my understanding is that the Arizona
Minority Coalition has announced it's going to file a
petition for review in the Supreme Court. So,
basically, it's very much up in the air whether the

Supreme Court is going to grant the petition for review.

If it does grant it, how long is it going to take to

decide? My recollection is that oral argument in the

Court of Appeals was in April and this decision just

came out within the last couple weeks.

2.

1.3

1.5

So, I mean, obviously they're very complicated issues and the courts are aware that these are important and pressing issues. So, very much up in the air.

What could happen is that there will be new lines. But right now we have the 2004 -- the lines that were used in 2004 that have been pre-cleared, and it's also possible that those will be used. So, bottom line is it's very much up in the air.

CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Okay.

MS. VARELA: And the next thing is on the David Smith matter. We had a hearing on Monday. We had filed -- well, let me back up.

Mr. Smith had filed a Special Action Complaint back in September before he had filed his Motion For Rehearing Or Review in front of the Commission. And actually that was an issue that Commissioner Busching had raised, whether the Commission still had position to consider that.

The Commission denied the Motion For Rehearing Or Review. The Attorney General's Office then filed a

Petition for Writ of Quo warranto on August 20th, basically asking the court for an order removing Mr. Smith from office.

1.3

We filed a Motion to Consolidate those two actions since obviously they're dealing with exact same issues. They did not object to that and that Motion to Consolidate was granted so we are now in front of Judge Mark Aceto in the southeast court.

We filed a Motion to Dismiss, a copy of which you have in the packet I believe. We then had a very short hearing on Monday. When we had filed our petition for the writ, we had also filed an Application For Order To Show Cause. So, the judge assigned in that case had set a return hearing, just a 15-minute hearing for Monday October 31st. This judge said we're going to take both of the cases and consolidate them, but I'm going to have the hearing as well so we can kind of sort out what's going on.

They have filed a Motion to Quash the Petition for Writ of Quo Warranto. They filed a First Amended Special Action Complaint. So, there's a number of pleadings out there. What the court ordered on Monday is that all of the parties will file responses to the respective pleadings they need to respond to by November 18th. Then the parties will file their replies no later

1 than November 30th. And then he's going to have a hearing on the Order to Show Cause, their Motion to 2. 3 Quash, and our Motion to Dismiss on December 6th. 4 that's where we are. We are busy. 5 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Hot and heavy in 6 litigation. 7 MS. VARELA: Yes. Yes. 8 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Mr. Lang. 9 MR. LANG: Thank you, Madame Chair. 10 Commission is not a party in the quo warranto action, 11 but because the matter has been consolidated, the 12 Commission is a party in this matter. 1.3 MS. VARELA: Right. 14 MR. LANG: So, we'll continue to be a party 1.5 until the matter is resolved. The Commission will 16 continue to be a party. 17 MS. VARELA: Right. 18 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: What, if you know, is 19 the background of the judge? 20 Do you know anything about him? 21 MS. VARELA: I do not know. I don't know him. 22 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Any questions of Ms. 23 Varela? 24 COMMISSIONER JOLLEY: I just need some 25 clarification. When you said the judge is southeast?

1 MS. VARELA: It's in the Maricopa County Superior Court, but there is a physical location in Mesa 2. 3 and --4 COMMISSIONER JOLLEY: I see. 5 MS. VARELA: -- and Mr. Smith is represented by David Abney whose law office is in Mesa, so it's more 6 7 convenient for him. And he had filed his special 8 action, even though he had not served it, he had filed 9 it first. When you make a motion to consolidate, they're 10 11 usually consolidated under the first filed action. COMMISSIONER JOLLEY: So, this is actually the 12 1.3 Superior Court of Maricopa County? 14 MS. VARELA: Yes, uh-huh. 1.5 COMMISSIONER JOLLEY: Are they done by 16 districts rather than at large? 17 COMMISSIONER BARDORF: If there's an attorney 18 in Surprise, you will end up in Northwest. Just to kind 19 of move people from downtown. 20 MS. VARELA: When we filed a Petition For Writ 21 of Quo Warranto, we were assigned to Judge Colin Cambell who was moved to downtown. When we moved to 22 23 consolidate, we were aware if it was granted it would 24 stay with Judge Aceto. 25 COMMISSIONER BARDORF: Sometimes the party

resident is in one of the other parts of town just to
get it out of here.

COMMISSIONER JOLLEY: The reason I ask is I'm
from rural Arizona and everything is done in one little

MS. VARELA: Oh, yeah.

courthouse, one little community.

1.3

COMMISSIONER JOLLEY: Thank you.

COMMISSIONER BARDORF: That's the way it was until just a few years ago. They just started farming it out, right, in the last couple of years?

MS. VARELA: I don't know.

MS. VARELA: They did serve it. It was served -- we had filed the petition on, I believe, the 20th and they served it the next day.

CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Okay. Any other questions of Ms. Varela?

If not, Item No. 9, call for public comment.

This is the time for consideration and discussion of comments and complaints from the public. Action taken as a result of public comment will be limited to directing staff to study the matter or rescheduling the matter for further consideration and decision at a later date, or responding to criticism.

1	Is there anyone from the public that wishes to
2	speak?
3	Not today.
4	I guess so we'll move to Item 10. Is there a
5	motion for adjournment?
6	COMMISSIONER JOLLEY: I will so move that we
7	adjourn.
8	CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Second.
9	COMMISSIONER BARDORF: Second.
10	CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: It's been moved by
11	Commissioner Jolley and seconded by Commissioner Bardorf
12	that we adjourn.
13	All in favor say "aye".
14	(Chorus of ayes.)
15	CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Opposed, nay?
16	Chair votes aye. Motion carries.
17	
18	(Whereupon the meeting concludes at 10:19 a.m.)
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

$\underline{C} \quad \underline{E} \quad \underline{R} \quad \underline{T} \quad \underline{I} \quad \underline{F} \quad \underline{I} \quad \underline{C} \quad \underline{A} \quad \underline{T} \quad \underline{E}$ I, Angela Furniss Miller, Certified Court Reporter, do hereby certify that the foregoing pages numbered 1 through 38, inclusive, constitute a full and accurate printed record of my stenographic notes taken at said time and place, all done to the best of my skill and ability. DATED, at Phoenix, this 18th day of November, 2005. Angela Furniss Miller, RPR Certified Reporter (AZ50127)